REGULAR MEETING OF THE COMMON COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF EL MIRAGE EL MIRAGE CITY COUNCIL CHAMBERS 10000 N. EL MIRAGE ROAD 5:30 PM – TUESDAY, AUGUST 15, 2017

Minutes

I. ROLL CALL

Present: Mayor Lana Mook, Councilmember Bob Jones, Councilmember Roy Delgado, Councilmember Lynn Selby, Councilmember Jack Palladino, Councilmember David Shapera

II. CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 5:30 pm. Pledge of Allegiance
Moment of Silence
Silence Cell Phones & Pagers

III. PRESENTATION

P1. Presentation by Central Arizona Project (CAP) for a water update (Administration)

Mayor Mook introduced Lisa Atkins from the Central Arizona Project. Mrs. Atkins first joined the Board through appointment by Governor Jane Dee Hull in January, 2003, to complete the term vacated by Bob Burns. She was elected to a regular term in November 2006 and re-elected to the CAWCD Board of Directors for her second full term on November 6, 2012, to represent Maricopa County until December 31, 2018. Mrs. Atkins currently serves as the President of the Board. Previously she served as Board Secretary and Chairman of the CAGRD & Underground Storage Committee.

Mrs. Atkins was appointed Commissioner of the Arizona Stand Land Department in June, 2015. She has served on the Commission on the Arizona Environment, the 1999 Governor's Transportation Vision 21 Task Force, the 2000 Governor's Water Management Commission, the 2003 Governor's Military Facilities Task Force, the Arizona Water Bank Authority representing CAWCD, chaired the 2012 and 2014 Commission on Salaries for Elected State Officers, and served for more than ten years as the Co-Chair of the Governor's Military Affairs Commission. Mrs. Atkins was a member of the staff of U. S. Congressman Bob Stump for more than twenty-five years, serving as Chief of Staff from 1979-2002, the Executive Director of the County Supervisors Association (2003-2004), and the Vice President for Public Policy of Greater Phoenix Leadership (2004-2015).

Mrs. Atkins, a native Arizonan, resides in Phoenix with her husband John. They have two grown children and four grandchildren. Mrs. Atkins is a graduate of the University of Arizona.

CAP Board President Lisa Atkins reported the Central Arizona Project is a 336-mile aqueduct that stretches from Lake Havasu to Tucson with 14 pumping plants lifting water nearly 3,000 feet and has eight siphons, three tunnels and two dams (Lake Pleasant and the new Waddell Dam). This system annually delivers approximately 520 billion gallons. Delivery of the Colorado River water began in 1985 in Maricopa County. Construction of the project was completed in 1993.

In recent years, CAP deliveries have generated in excess of \$1 trillion of Arizona's gross state product at a cost of \$100 billion per year, which is 1/3 of Arizona's entire gross state product. The Colorado River Basin includes the upper Basin States made up of Colorado, New Mexico, Utah and Wyoming and the Lower Basin States made up of Arizona, California, and Nevada. This provides 7.5 million acre-feet (MAF) annual allocation of Colorado River water for the Upper Basin and 7.5 MAF for the Lower Basin. The Lower Basin allocations are Arizona at 2.8 MAF, California at 4.4 MAF and Nevada at 0.3 MAF.

"Shortage" is a reduction of Colorado River water to users and is declared by the Secretary of the Department of Interior based on the water elevation of Lake Mead. "Shortage" is declared in August based on projected January lake levels and takes force in January for each new year. Lake Mead elevations have been declining steadily in the past 15 years. The decline in Lake Mead is due to 17 years of drought and over allocation of the system or "structural deficit." Lower Basin water allocation is 9.6 MAF with 0.6 evaporation losses. Average inflow is 9.0 MAF with a structural deficit of 1.2 MAF. Given basic apportionments in the Lower Basin, the allotment in Mexico, and an 8.23 MAF from Lake Powell, Lake Mead storage declines about 12 feet each year.

Ms. Atkins also explained the Central Arizona Groundwater Replenishment District (CAGRD). CAGRD is not a separate entity. It is a special function of CAP funded solely by its members. CAGRD and CAP both serve the same threecounty service area – Maricopa, Pima and Pinal. CAGRD members pay CAP's 10¢ and 4¢ property taxes and all costs of CAGRD membership. CAGRD members are a subset of CAP's constituents. Arizona requires all new development in the major metropolitan areas to have a 100-year assured water supply. CAGRD members include water users that have no CAP subcontract. water users with CAP subcontracts insufficient to meet their needs and CAP subcontractors without direct access to CAP water (City of El Mirage is one of these members). There are two types of CAGRD members: (1) Member Service Areas (MSAs): municipalities and private water companies (including El Mirage), and (2) Member Lands (MLs): subdivisions and master-planned developments. The four West Valley CAGRD MSAs are Avondale, El Mirage, Goodyear and Surprise with a combined population of over 325,000. There are over 550 Member Land subdivisions served by nine different water providers with more than 130,000 housing units.

Ms. Atkins reported El Mirage enrolled as a CAGRD Member Service Area in 1999. All new subdivisions built in El Mirage since 1999 have relied on the City's CAGRD membership. CAGRD has replenished roughly 16,500 acre-feet of water on behalf of El Mirage. Due to El Mirage's management of its own water resources, no replenishment has been needed since 2008. Depending on use of other supplies, including effluent and Long-Term Storage Credits, El Mirage may continue to avoid reporting obligation for years to come. Over the next 20 years, El Mirage is projected to account for nearly 3% of all new development within the four West Valley MSAs. "Protect Lake Mead" is CAP's educational campaign to raise public awareness about Arizona's Colorado River water supply, especially as it relates to the water conditions at Lake Mead. Information regarding this campaign can be found at www.ProtectLakeMead.com.

IV. CALL TO THE PUBLIC

Citizens desiring to speak on a matter that IS NOT on this agenda may do so at this time. Comments shall be limited to three (3) minutes per person and shall be addressed to the City Council as a whole. At the conclusion of the Call to the Public, individual City Council Members may (1) respond to criticism made by those who have spoken (2) direct staff to review or respond to the matter, and/or (3) direct that the matter be put on a future agenda.

Jimmy Farley from Coco's Towing wanted to thank the City for the help provided after the recent storms. He stated staff was amazing and complemented the cooperative efforts of Police and Fire. Excellent job!

V. CONSENT AGENDA

All items listed under the Consent Agenda will be voted on with one motion. If discussion is desired regarding any Consent Agenda Item, that item will be removed from the Consent Agenda and voted on separately.

- 1. Consideration and action to approve the minutes of the Regular Council meeting held Thursday, July 6, 2017. (City Clerk)
- 2. Consideration and action to accept grant funds awarded from WIFA in the amount of \$35,000 for a 2017 grant application to perform an infrastructure assessment of the El Mirage water system in the Surprise Original Town Site (OTS). (Public Works)
- 3. Consideration and action to approve the destruction of municipal documents that have reached the end of their retention period as authorized under A.R.S. § 41-151.19. (Finance)
- 4. Consideration and action approve the destruction of Personnel Records that have reached the end of their retention period as authorized under A.R.S. § 41 -151.19. (Human Resources)

- 5. Consideration and action to approve an extension to the existing Intergovernmental Agreement (IGA) between the Dysart Unified School District and the City of El Mirage for continuing the School Resource Officer (SRO) program. (Police)
- 6. Consideration and action to approve the purchase with Dell Computer for computers in an amount not to exceed \$37,000 utilizing the State of Arizona. (Information Technology)

Councilor Jones moved to approve Items 1 thru 6 on the Consent Agenda as presented; seconded by Councilor Palladino. Motion carried unanimously (6/0).

VI. REGULAR AGENDA

A. Public hearing, closure of public hearing, followed by consideration and action to approve Ordinance O17-08-08 amending section §154.052, Permitted Use by Zone, of the City of El Mirage Zoning Code. (Community Development)

Mayor Mook opened the Public Hearing.

GIS/Development Services Coordinator Jose Macias stated City staff is presenting a proposal to amend the Zoning code by removing <u>Liquor Sales</u> from the <u>Table of Land Uses</u> under <u>§154.052 PERMITTED USES BY ZONE</u>.

The Arizona Department of Liquor Licenses and Control ultimately issues liquor licenses with a recommendation by the municipalities through the City Clerk, Police Department and Council. Current Zoning Code §154-052 Permitted Uses by Zone states liquor sales require a Conditional Use Permit. Planning and Zoning has no authority to impose conditions. Staff recommends removal of the Liquor Sales Conditional Use Permit requirement from the Table of Land Uses to avoid confusion and duplication of efforts. The current review process includes action by the City Clerk, Police Department and ultimately a recommendation to the Arizona Department of Liquor Licenses and Control from the Council. The Planning & Zoning Commission held a Public Hearing on July 11, 2017 and recommended approval with a 5-0 vote.

Councilor Shapera asked if a developer brought this item forward and Mr. Macias answered that it is a staff request because applications are processed and noticed to the public and do not need P&Z involvement. Mr. Macias reported developers are hesitant about the "conditional" requirement and because the CUP requires more time and investment to get their projects approved. Councilor Shapera stated he does not see why it would be a benefit to the community to not to be able to come to Council and discuss companies who want to put a liquor sales establishment within City borders. He does not feel it is wise to take away the rights the people have right now and given this understanding he will not support the request.

Councilor Selby asked what the City would be giving up if this item was approved and Mr. Macias stated the process the state requires mirrors the City's requirements so nothing is being given up. Councilor Selby stated it sounds like the Council decision is being eliminated.

Dr. Isom asked if the process of having the application go to the Chief of Police for background checks would continue and Mr. Macias responded that process would remain the same. Dr. Isom pointed out the request is to take a requirement out of the Zoning Code which would require the Conditional Use Permit and all the fees. He asked Economic Development Specialist Tom Doyle to address this issue.

Mr. Doyle reported Conditional Use Permits are generally not well liked by new businesses coming in to the City as the CUP requires the cost of permit without a guarantee because it needs to be approved by Council after paying the fees. The Police Department is already doing due diligence that is required and involving Planning & Zoning is a duplication of effort. In this instance, the process is already taken place through the Police Department and the State of Arizona. Mayor Mook asked Mr. Doyle what other cities have the same process that we do and he stated he would need to research.

City Clerk Sharon Antes reported that as the City Clerk, she receives the packet of liquor license application information from the Arizona Department of Liquor License and Control, and there is a specific procedure of responsibilities between the Clerk's office and the Police Department. These include coordinating the 20-day public posting at the requesting establishment, notifying the applicant of the Public Hearing date (Council meeting), background check of applicant, and preparing information for Council to hear public comments and make a recommendation to the Department of Liquor & Control. Council, based on their review and public input, makes a recommendation to the Dept. of Liquor; it is the State who actually determines approval (or not) and issues the liquor licenses. She further stated that since the type of liquor license places restrictions on hours of operation, location, etc., review by Planning & Zoning is unnecessary duplication.

Councilor Shapera asked if there is any other community that follows this procedure and Mr. Macias reported his research with other communities indicates they all follow this procedure and none go through the Planning and Zoning department.

There being no public comments received, Mayor Mook closed the Public Hearing.

Councilor Jones moved to approve Ordinance O17-08-08 amending Section §154.052, Permitted Use by Zone, of the City of El Mirage Zoning Code; seconded by Councilor Palladino. Motion carried unanimously (6/0).

B. Public hearing, closure of public hearing, followed by consideration and action to approve Ordinance O17-08-09 amending section §154.053, Zoning Standards, of the City of El Mirage Zoning Code. (Community Development)

Mayor Mook opened the Public Hearing.

Mr. Macias reported City staff is requesting that a section of the Zoning code be amended to revise the <u>Table of Zone Standards</u> under <u>§154.053 ZONING</u> STANDARDS.

This amendment was requested by a home builder and after staff reviewed the Zoning Code, they recommend the following amendment. The Table of Zone Standards would add and allow a 15-ft. rear set-back in Suburban Neighborhood zones for subdivisions of 30 acres or more. Mr. Macias reviewed the floor plans and elevations of the proposed development. The Planning and Zoning Commission held a Public Hearing on July 11, 2017 and recommended approval with a 5-0 vote.

Mayor Mook asked if there are any other areas in the City this could apply to and Mr. Macias responded there are none currently as there are no areas that are 30 acres or greater.

Councilor Shapera asked whether the area towards Maggie's Mountain was more than 30 acres. He further asked why the developer cannot abide by the City's existing zoning code. He stated Surprise does not allow 15 foot setbacks unless it is a multi-family apartment building. He measured his own home which is 25 feet 6 inches and he considers that small. He wondered if the developer wanted to add more lots. The home sizes are very similar to those in the Cactus Park subdivision and he asked why the developer couldn't use larger lots versus changing the zoning code? Mr. Macias stated he did not have specific answers to the Councilor's questions and introduced representatives from the development company present at this meeting to answer questions.

Carolyn Oberhazer, Attorney for Garrett-Walker stated the project area they are working within has minimum lot standards that are set forth in the City's Zoning Code and the layout in the original settlement agreement. These particular units have a 3-car garage option. The unit from the front shows a 2-car garage and the 3rd car is in tandem which requires an additional 2 ½ feet of foundation; they are requesting the modification to accommodate this product which is single story. The five other models would adhere to the 20 feet. Typically a 15-foot set-back is normal for a single story and 20 feet is the setback for two story. Councilor Shapera stated requesting a variance could be an option to which Attorney Hall commented the issue is the complications associated with qualifying for a variance as they are generally difficult to achieve dealing with conditions peculiar to the property and are usually an expensive and intense process. Ms. Oberhazer stated in addition to qualifying and the expensive and lengthy process, when a homebuyer comes to select their lot, the product could not be sold until a variance was obtained. They are attempting to create a solution that has limited application

by requiring it be in a development of 30 acres with many different products so they came up with this structure to limit it. Councilor Shapera pointed out there is also the alternative to have larger lots and Ms. Oberhazer responded the layout previously approved by this Council is in the settlement agreement and changing would require a total redesign.

Mayor Mook asked what is adjacent to this project and Mr. Macias answered that to the north and to the east is the natural reserve/open space currently occupied by Vulcan Mines. To the south is Mixed Urban which has different setbacks. Mayor Mook stated because it is next to the mining she would not have a problem with either 15 or 20 feet.

Dr. Isom reminded Council this is the Thompson Ranch Development, which is noteworthy, because there was a layout approved as part of the settlement agreement. The 15 ft. setback is market driven and there is the risk of building a product that people do not want to buy; they have a product they think will work and one that will not impact the rest of the community.

Councilor Palladino asked if they are all single story and Mr. Macias responded that of the six models, three are single story and three are two story homes.

Councilor Delgado asked about the front setback and Mr. Macias stated the front setback is required to be 20 feet from property line to building. Councilor Delgado asked if they can cheat on the front end and Dr. Isom stated this will not impact the front setback requirements at all. The 15 foot back yard will not impact other requirements. For instance, if someone wanted to install a swimming pool, they would still need to meet distance requirements.

Councilor Shapera asked if one model needs a 15 ft. setback and Ms. Oberhazer reported that is correct. It is the only one with a 3-car garage option. Councilor Shapera asked how far it is from the railroad tracks and Mr. Macias reported they are still working with the developer regarding the layout. Councilor Shapera suggested the City may want walls higher because of the potential noise factor.

Councilor Jones commented on the developer's project on Olive Avenue and stated it is top notch. They are also currently building a project off of Reems Road in Surprise. Everything he has seen from this developer is first class.

Councilor Selby asked how many homes there are per acre and Mr. Macias reported there are four and one half per acre.

There being no public comments, Mayor Mook closed the Public Hearing.

Councilor Jones moved to approve Ordinance O17-08-09 amending section §154.053, Zoning Standards, of the City of El Mirage Zoning Code; seconded by Councilor Palladino. Motion carried unanimously (6/0).

C. Consideration and action to approve filing an annexation blank petition with Maricopa County to begin the process of annexing into the City limits an area east of Thompson Ranch Road and north of Grand Avenue. (Community Development)

Mr. Macias reported City staff is requesting permission from Council to file a blank petition with the Maricopa County Recorder's Office to begin the annexation process for a 15-acre parcel at the request of the property owner. He reviewed the map for the Thompson Ranch Parcel A Plat Annexation.

This process includes City Council approval for permission to file a blank petition. If approved, the blank petition will be filed on August 16th with the Maricopa County Recorder's Office, the Department of Revenue, the Maricopa County Assessor's Office and the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors will be notified. Once filed, the 30-day waiting period begins. A public meeting needs to be held within that 30-day waiting period which will end on September 15th. On September 16th the City may begin to collect property owner's signatures and has one (1) year from this date to adopt the annexation. Sometime during that 1-year period, there will be a Council meeting to adopt the annexation ordinance and rezone the parcel.

Councilor Shapera asked what is the number of property owners for that piece of property and the properties adjacent to it and Mr. Macias stated there is one property owner and adjacent properties are owned either by Vulcan Mining or the oil company.

Councilor Jones moved to approve filing an annexation blank petition with Maricopa County to begin the process of annexing into the City limits an area east of Thompson Ranch Road and north of Grand Avenue; seconded by Councilor Palladino. Motion carried unanimously (6/0).

D. Consideration and action to authorize execution of the IGA (for the operation and maintenance) with Maricopa County for the Northern Parkway from Dysart Road to 111th Avenue. (Community Development)

Director/City Engineer Jorge Gastelum reported an IGA was executed in 2008 by the program participants and established an Executive Committee consisting of voting members MCDOT, City of Glendale, City of Peoria and City of El Mirage. Jurisdictional responsibility includes 70% in regional funds and the remaining 30% local match consisting of 40% from Glendale, 30% MCDOT, 20% from Peoria and 10% from El Mirage.

The interim project is to include frontage roads between Dysart and El Mirage, the bridge crossing at the Agua Fria River and two lanes in each direction between El Mirage Road and 111th Avenue. Current operation and maintenance costs include traffic signals at Northern and El Mirage (\$3,000/year), traffic signals at Northern and Dysart (\$3,000/year), and pavement maintenance

(\$20,000/year) for a current total annual O&M cost per year of \$26,000. After the Northern Parkway project is completed there will be \$26,000 in yearly savings.

Mayor Mook asked to clarify that this interim project starts at 111th Avenue and runs to the west of Dysart Road with a bridge over the river bed first and then putting an intersection complex at El Mirage Road and Mr. Gastelum confirmed that was correct. He explained it is one single project including a frontage road between Dysart and El Mirage and will include the bridge and the connection to Northern Avenue. Mayor Mook asked if the maintenance will be County responsibility after the entire project is complete and Mr. Gastelum stated that was correct.

Dr. Isom commented regional projects are far more difficult than this proposal appears as partners do not always act neighborly. This was a substantial and long term effort to bring this project to what it is now - a finished product that resulted from El Mirage being well represented on this regional project.

Councilor Delgado asked Mr. Gastelum if Hanson Pipe would have an entrance off of the Northern frontage between Dysart and El Mirage Road and Mr. Gastelum reported in the interim next phase there will be a connection between the E. Val Vista Road and the east entrance to Hanson Pipe. Councilor Delgado asked if it would eventually go all the way up to Butler Avenue. Mr. Gastelum reported not in this next phase but two phases after this one; Maricopa County will build 129th Avenue and Butler Drive to Dysart Avenue and 127th Avenue.

Councilor Jones moved to authorize execution of the IGA with Maricopa County for the Northern Parkway operation and maintenance from Dysart Road to 111th Avenue; seconded by Councilor Palladino. Motion carried unanimously (6/0).

E. Consideration and action to authorize the City Manager to enter into a Professional Services Contract with NFra Inc. to design the reconstruction of Butler Drive for one half mile west of El Mirage Road in an amount of \$42,471. (Community Development)

Assistant City Engineer Bryce Christo explained the reconstruction of Butler Drive between El Mirage Road and 127th Avenue (approx. ½ mile) is included in the City's Capital Improvement Plan for FY 17/18 with a budget of \$850,000 for both design and construction. The project will include removing and replacing the existing roadway with a 36-foot wide pavement section, installing new water service lines and evaluating the existing fire hydrant spacing along this corridor. MCDOT will extend Butler Drive from 127th Avenue to Dysart Road as part of a future phase of the Northern Parkway project. NFra, Inc. was chosen from the City's On-Call Consultant List to provide a proposal for the design. The final Professional Services Agreement totals \$42,471.00. Mr. Christo then reviewed the location that will be affected. The Capital Improvements Plan for Butler Drive Reconstruction has an original project budget of \$850,000.00. This request

for design services will be \$42,471.00, leaving a remaining budget of \$807,529.00 for construction services.

Councilor Shapera asked if there would be natural gas and Mr. Christo answered there is no natural gas in this proposal.

Councilor Jones moved to authorize the City Manager to enter into a Professional Services Contract with NFra Inc. to design the reconstruction of Butler Drive for one half mile west of El Mirage Road in an amount of \$42,471.00; seconded by Councilor Palladino. Motion carried unanimously (6/0).

F. Consideration and possible action to rename Mountain View Road to Joe R. Ramirez Road in honor of the passing of former Vice Mayor Joe Ramirez. (Community Development)

Mayor Mook stated she asked for this item to be considered. After talking with the Ramirez family, they decided a park or a road that does not impact any houses or businesses, requiring a need for a change of address, would be best. Staff suggested and the family concurred that the road immediately south of City Hall would be suitable. Beginning at El Mirage Road and eventually running west to Dysart Road would be named Joe R. Ramirez Road and have a street light at El Mirage Road and a lighted name designation on the sign. She reported the family was very pleased with this suggestion.

Councilor Shapera suggested naming one of City Hall conference rooms for Joe stating he has done quite a few things that people do not know about for the City such as pouring the cabana concrete up at Gentry Park and building basketball courts. Councilor Shapera stated Joe never wanted the publicity or limelight at all and he believed it would be very meaningful to name a conference room for Joe because he went above and beyond on many things. He stated he is not keen on renaming streets, whether for Joe or anybody else, but did believe it would be appropriate to acknowledge Joe within the building and within parks where he donated so much, which in some cases were very large donations. The City should put up plaques in areas where he contributed to let the people know that Joe did this out of his heart, not for the publicity or limelight; and, he believes this should have been done some time ago. Mayor Mook asked if he was talking about instead of or in addition to naming a road for Joe and Councilor Shapera answered instead of but if he is in the minority, so be it.

Councilor Jones liked the street name change idea. He thinks it is very memorable and will be there for years to come.

Councilor Palladino said he favors naming the street to honor the past Vice Mayor.

Councilor Jones moved to authorize the City Manager to approve the renaming of Mountain View road to Joe R. Ramirez Road in honor of

the passing of former Vice Mayor Joe Ramirez; seconded by Councilor Palladino. Motion carried (4/2) Nay votes cast by Councilor Shapera and Councilor Delgado.

Councilor Shapera stated he would still like to have a conference room named for Joe and it could be done administratively.

VII. CITY MANAGER SUMMARY OF CURRENT EVENTS

The City Council may not act upon any matter in the City Manager's summary but may have general comment or questions.

Dr. Isom commented that recent heavy rains illustrated corrective measures taken to long term flooding issues. He recognized Engineering and Public Works departments and their respective consultants for coming up with designs that have taken care of City roadways by reducing impact of heavy rains and flooding. Even amid the storms and immediately after, traffic free flows through the community which is a testament to the work that has been accomplished over the last several years.

Dr. Isom asked Police Chief Terry McDonald to talk about a new investment the City has made in the Police Department stating good sound management has allowed for the replacement of many of the police vehicles. Right now the police fleet has 13 or 14 new Expeditions, an undercover truck, and tonight there is a new addition.

Chief McDonald invited Sgt. Chris Culp to join him while he described their new "Bat Mobile" – it is a new tool that is unobtrusive yet effective in helping to keep the streets safe. Police is appreciative of Council's input and he introduced and recognized Sgt. Culp as the Westside DUI Task Force representative. This vehicle was Sgt. Culp's idea and he worked hard to achieve 100% grant funding for the vehicle which is now in the parking lot for everyone to view after the meeting. Police has also just received funds from GOHS that supports among other things police presence and enforcement in schools. The City is lucky to have people like Sgt. Culp and a supportive Council. Councilor Palladino asked if the vehicle has been in use and Chief McDonald reported it was just picked up at the dealer yesterday.

Dr. Isom asked Sgt. Culp to talk about this special vehicle. Sgt. Culp stated this is a project he has wanted for quite some time; it is Dodge Charger that is equipped with moving radar with inside radar that can detect speeders coming toward the vehicle as well as away from the vehicle while driving. Sgt. Culp believes it will be a valuable tool for the City.

Councilor Shapera thanked and complemented the Police Department for the school patrols and stated he was surprised at the number of serious tickets that were issued during the patrols.

VIII. MAYOR'S COMMENTS and COUNCIL SUMMARY OF CURRENT EVENTS

The Mayor and City Council may not discuss or act upon any matter in the summary unless the specific matter is properly noticed for legal action.

Councilor Shapera stated he had the unfortunate experience of having his truck entered at 4:29 AM; unfortunately he had not used the electric lock on it that night. He did capture a photo and Officer McClain responded and took the photo and put it on Facebook. He does not know if the individual was apprehended but he is concerned because it is the third time he has had trouble in his front yard.

Councilor Delgado stated the \$200K grant funding for fire engine is now awaiting approval of the County Board of Supervisors and he is optimistic there is assurance of the funding approval.

IX. ADJOURNMENT

The meeting was adjourned at 7:15 PM

Lane Mook, Mayor

ATTEST:

Sharon Antes, City Clerk

I hereby certify the aforementioned minutes are a true and accurate record of the Regular El Mirage City Council Meeting held on Tuesday, August 15, 2017 and a quorum was present.

Sharon Antes, City Clerk